| 1 | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 3 | BRIAN STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division | | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 12 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 13 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 14 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 03-07-70345 | | |
| 15 | Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER | | |
| 16 | v.) EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE v.) PRELIMINARY HEARING AND | | |
| 17 | GLENIO JESUA FERREIRA SILVA,) EXCLUDING TIME) | | |
| 18 | Defendant. | | |
| 19 |) | | |
| 20 | The parties now stipulate and request that the Court enter an Order that the Preliminary | | |
| 21 | Hearing be removed from the September 28, 2007 calendar and be continued until October 26, | | |
| 22 | 2007 and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from September | | |
| 23 | 28, 2007 through October 26, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. The Government has | | |
| 24 | provided voluminous discovery to the defendant and defense counsel requires time to review it. | | |
| 25 | Further, counsel for the Government and defense counsel are currently discussing pre-indictment | | |
| 26 | resolution of this matter. Finally, counsel for the defendant does not believe it is within his | | |
| 27 | client's best interest to hold a preliminary hearing within 20 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. | | |
| 28 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME- CR 03-07-70345 BZ | | |

| 1 | 5.1(c),(d). The parties represent that granting this continuance is necessary for effective | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 2 | preparation of counsel to permit defense counsel to review discovery and to afford counsel time | | |
| 3 | to discuss pre-indictment resolution, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 | | |
| 4 | U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). | | |
| 5 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 6 | | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney | |
| 7 | | Office States Attorney | |
| 8 9 | DATED: September 26, 2007 | /s/ DENISE MARIE BARTON | |
| 10 | | Assistant United States Attorney | |
| 11 | DATED: Contourle vi 27, 2007 | 1-1 | |
| 12 | DATED: September 26, 2007 | STEVEN GRUEL | |
| 13 | | Attorney for GLENIO JESUA FERREIRA SILVA | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 16 | For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the | | |
| 17 | continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that | | |
| 18 | time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from September 28, 2007 | | |
| 19 | through October 26, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). | | |
| 20 | The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of | | |
| 21 | counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of | | |
| 22 | justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | DATED: | | |
| 26 | | orable Nandor J. Vadas ed States Magistrate Judge | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY | | |